BEST AVAILABLE COPY PATE

8-12-05

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT/FR2003/00083

PCT

541118

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

								
Applicant's or agent's file reference R8704WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)							
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)		Priority date (day/month/year)					
PCT/FR2003/000834	14 mars 2003 (14	.03.2003)	31 décembre 2002 (31.12.2002)					
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC G06T 5/00, 5/10, G06F 17/30								
Applicant FRANCE TELECOM								
 This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. This REPORT consists of a total of6 sheets, including this cover sheet. 								
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT). These annexes consist of a total of sheets.								
This report contains indications relations	This report contains indications relating to the following items:							
I Basis of the report	I							
II Priority	II Priority							
III Non-establishment	of opinion with regard to no	velty, inventive st	ep and industrial applicability					
IV Lack of unity of inv	vention							
V Reasoned statemen citations and explan	t under Article 35(2) with repartions supporting such state	gard to novelty, ir ment	eventive step or industrial applicability;					
VI Certain documents	cited	·						
VII Certain defects in t	he international application							
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion of this report						
16 juillet 2004 (16.07	.2004)	02 Se	eptember 2004 (02.09.2004)					
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Au	thorized officer						
Facsimile No.	Te	Telephone No.						

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

PCT/FR2003/000834

I. I	I. Basis of the report						
1.	With	regard to	the elements of the international application:*				
		the inter	mational application as originally filed				
	$\overline{\boxtimes}$	the desc	ription:				
ļ		pages	1-27	, as originally filed			
		pages		, filed with the demand			
		pages	, filed with the letter of				
	\square	the clair					
1	لحکا	pages		, as originally filed			
		pages	, as amended (together with				
		pages	1-24	, filed with the demand			
		pages	, filed with the letter of				
	\square	the dray					
		ne drav		, as originally filed			
		pages		, as originally fried			
		pages	, filed with the letter of				
	\Box	, - ,					
	Ш	-	ence listing part of the description:				
		pages					
		pages		, filed with the demand			
		pages	, filed with the letter of				
2.	the i	internation	o the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authal application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. Its were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language	thority in the language in which which is:			
		the lan	guage of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23	.1(b)).			
	لَــا	the lan	guage of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).				
		the lan or 55.3	nguage of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary exams).	nination (under Rule 55.2 and/			
3.			to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:	application, the international			
		contain	ned in the international application in written form.				
		filed to	ogether with the international application in computer readable form.				
		furnish	ned subsequently to this Authority in written form.				
		5	ned subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.				
			tatement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go ational application as filed has been furnished.	beyond the disclosure in the			
	L	_	tatement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the furnished.	he written sequence listing has			
4.		The ar	mendments have resulted in the cancellation of:				
"		$\overline{\Box}$	the description, pages				
		岗	the claims, Nos. 25, 26				
ŀ			the drawings, sheets/fig				
5.			port has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**	hey have been considered to go			
*	* Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16 and 70.17).						
*	** Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.						

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.
PCT/FR 03/00834

Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-24	YES
		Claims		NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-24	YES
		Claims		NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-24	YES
		Claims		NO

- 2. Citations and explanations
 - 1. Reference is made to the following document:

D1: Loupias E et al: "Wavelet-based salient points for image retrieval", Proc. International Conference on Image Processing 2000 (10-09-2000), 2, 518-521

2. Independent claims 1 and 21-24 are unclear and do not meet the requirements of PCT Article 6.

Claim 1:

- i. The preamble of the claim defines "at least one detail image", which contradicts the characterising portion, defining "at least two detail images". It appears to be more accurate to define two detail images in the preamble as well, since at least two coefficients are required for carrying out a "merging of the coefficients of said detail images".
- ii. The claim defines a single tree structure in the phrase "construction of a single tree structure from the wavelet coefficients of each of said detail images". This definition is so

PCT/FR 03/00834

vague that the nature of the data forming the tree structure is unclear. Does the data consist of wavelet coefficients or of salience values?

Indeed, in the description of the application, there are two different tree structures: a wavelet coefficient tree and a salience value tree. In order to detect salient points in the image, a wavelet coefficient tree is initially built (cf. chapter 5.2; figure 3), and a set of salience maps, one for each resolution level, is built from this initial tree (cf. chapter 5.3; figure 4). Finally, a salience value tree is derived from the salience maps obtained (cf. chapter 5.4; figure 4).

The claim fails to define these two different trees and the relationship therebetween, even though the essential aspect of the invention resides in converting the wavelet coefficient tree into the salience value tree by merging the wavelet coefficients in the salience maps.

Claims 23-26:

The above objections also apply to claims 23-26, since the features thereof essentially correspond to the features of claim 1.

3. However, in spite of the above-mentioned lack of clarity, the subject matter of independent claims 1 and 21-24 complies with the criterion of novelty of PCT Article 33(2) and involves an inventive step under the terms of PCT Article 33(3).

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

The invention relates to the detection of points of interest, also designated as salient points, in a digital image, based on the conversion of the image into wavelets.

The main aspect of the invention relates to the analysis of the wavelet coefficient tree.

The closest prior art, D1, describes the detection of salient points in a digital image, based on the conversion of the image into wavelets. Said conversion provides, for each level of break-down, three detail images corresponding to three predetermined directions, and therefore provides a wavelet coefficient tree. The analysis of the tree structure comprises the recursive tracking of the maximum coefficients through the tree structure and adding same to a salience value for all the coefficients and pixels of the image. Said salience values are used, finally, to determine the salient points via a thresholding step (cf. chapter 2).

The subject matter of independent claims 1 and 21-24 differs from the closest prior art in that, in the analysis of the tree structure, the detail image coefficients are merged in order to avoid favouring any direction of the image.

The problem that the present invention is intended to solve can therefore be considered to be that of improving the detection of salient points.

Since the step of merging detail image coefficients to further improve detection is neither indicated nor disclosed in the international search report

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/FR 03/00834

documents, the subject matter of independent claims 1 and 21-24 is considered to involve an inventive step.

4. Claims 2-20 are dependent on claim 1 and therefore also meet, as such, the PCT requirements of novelty and inventive step.

Additional observations:

- 1. Independent claims 1, 21 and 23 have been drafted in the two-part form. However, the first feature, concerning the application of wavelet conversion to provide at least two detail images corresponding respectively to at least two predetermined directions, should not appear in the characterising portion, since it is disclosed in document D1, in combination with the features set forth in the preamble (PCT Rule 6.3.b)).
- 2. The description is not consistent with the claims (PCT Rule 5.1(a)(iii)).

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

BLACK BORDERS

IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES

FADED TEXT OR DRAWING

BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING

SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES

COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS

GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

☐ OTHER:

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.